REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL NO. P-263

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION SERVICES, TERM CONTRACT

Due Date: 09/28/2020, Time: 3:00 PM

AMENDMENT NO. 3
DATED 09/01/20

bid@baltimorecountymd.gov

BRIAN MOHNEY, STAFF BUYER
PHONE: 410-887-3243

PLEASE SIGN BELOW ACKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT OF THIS ADDENDUM AND RETURN WITH YOUR BID.
The following information is offered, as the result of correspondence received through August 31, 2020.

1. **Question:** On the reference form for design services, it has the consultant listed. Is that the design firm or can that be the specific consultant that worked on the project? In case they have moved firms since the project has been completed.

**Answer:** The reference form for design services is intended to provide feedback on the design firm, not an individual designer. Individual experience can be presented in the resume section.

2. **Question:** Can the County please provide the location of the site described in Mock Project #2? It seems that some of the prospective bidders may have knowledge of this location, which could affect how firms chose to respond to the solicitation.

**Answer:** Mock project #2 is loosely based on the White Marsh Run Stream Restoration project. The scenario details can be applied to any stream restoration project and will be reviewed accordingly. Site specific accuracy beyond the description provided is not expected or required.

3. **Question:** Could the County provide clarification regarding the pricing information for Mock Scenario 1 that is to be included in the Technical and Cost Proposals? RFP Section 6.2.1.1 states that a “generalized bid item breakdown” of opinion of cost is to be included in the Technical Proposal. Section 6.2.1.2 states that the price proposal for Mock Scenario 1 is to be submitted separately as part of the Price Proposal. We are unsure how much cost information to include in the Technical Proposal.

**Answer:** Section 6.2.1.1 refers to the designer’s opinion of construction cost which should be included with the technical proposal submission. Section 6.2.1.2 refers to the design price proposal that should be included with the price proposal submission, further described in Section 7.1.1

4. **Question:** Regarding RFP Section 6.1.3, would the County be willing to allow offerors to consider the two page limit per project to be a 20 page limit for the construction projects and a 14 page limit for design projects to allow some flexibility in presentation of prior projects? We would like to present some of our indefinite delivery, indefinite quantity/on-call contacts (under which several relevant projects were performed) as single description, which would exceed a page description but would also cover multiple projects.

**Answer:** For reasons of consistency and time, offerors should follow the direction in Section 6.1.3 in regard to presenting project experience.
5. **Question:** Does Mock Project Scenario 1 need to follow Baltimore County’s Department of Environmental Protection and Sustainability standard stream restoration scope of work that includes milestone deliverables at Data Collection/Analysis/Concept Design Alternatives, 30% Design, 50% Design/Permit Submittal, 90% Review Design, and Final Construction Documents?

**Answer:** No. The scope of work does not need to follow Baltimore County EPS stream restoration design checklist. This contract is intended for task assignments having time constraints or other special circumstances. If the milestone deliveries will be required, those will be set at the time of individual task assignments.

6. All terms and conditions remain the same.