

| | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE | * | BEFORE THE |
| (2338 Sweet Meadow Rd.) | | |
| 3 rd Election District | * | OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE |
| 2 nd Council District | | |
| Yevgeniy Molotkov & Anna Gold | * | HEARINGS FOR |
| Petitioners | | |
| | * | BALTIMORE COUNTY |
| | | |
| | * | CASE NO. 2016-0148-A |

* * * * *

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, Yevgeniy Molotkov & Anna Gold (“Petitioners”). The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief from §1B02.3.C.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit an open projection (partially covered deck) with a rear setback of 20 ft. in lieu of the required 22.5 ft. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners’ Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. There were no adverse ZAC comments received from any of the County reviewing agencies.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on December 27, 2015, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by Section 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code (B.C.C.). Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law

Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this 19th day of **January, 2016**, by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance relief from § 1B02.3.C. 1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), to permit an open projection (partially covered deck) with a rear setback of 20 ft. in lieu of the required 22.5 ft., be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

- Petitioners may apply for their appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

Signed
JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN
Administrative Law Judge
for Baltimore County

JEB:dlw