

IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE	*	BEFORE THE
(12400 Glenbauer Road)		
11 th Election District	*	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE
3 rd Council District		
James R. and Linda H. Pietila	*	HEARINGS FOR
Petitioners		
	*	BALTIMORE COUNTY
	*	CASE NO. 2015-0091-A

* * * * *

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) for Baltimore County for consideration of a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, James R. and Linda H. Pietila (“Petitioners”). The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief pursuant to § 400.3 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (“B.C.Z.R) to permit an accessory building (barn building) in the rear yard of the dwelling with a height of 22 ft. in lieu of the maximum permitted 15 ft. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners’ Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. There were no adverse ZAC comments received from any of the County reviewing agencies.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on November 1, 2014, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by § 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code (B.C.C.). Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in

the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of § 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Although the Department of Planning did not make any recommendations related to the accessory structure height and usage, I will impose conditions that the barn shall not be converted into a dwelling unit or apartment, not contain any sleeping quarters, living area, and kitchen or bathroom facilities.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this 24th day of November, 2014 by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from § 400.3 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (“B.C.Z.R) to permit an accessory building (barn building) in the rear yard of the dwelling with a height of 22 ft. in lieu of the maximum permitted 15 ft., be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

1. Petitioners may apply for any appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

2. The Petitioners or subsequent owners shall not convert the pole barn into a dwelling unit or apartment. The accessory structure/pole barn shall not contain any sleeping quarters, living area, and kitchen or bathroom facilities.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

____ Signed _____
JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN
Administrative Law Judge for
Baltimore County

JEB:dlw