

<b>IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE</b>	*	BEFORE THE
<b>(2634 Holly Beach Road)</b>		
15 <sup>th</sup> Election District	*	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE
7 <sup>th</sup> Council District		
Eugene C. Salvo, Jr. & Doris Salvo Gryctz	*	HEARINGS FOR
Petitioners		
	*	BALTIMORE COUNTY
	*	<b>CASE NO. 2015-0191-A</b>

\* \* \* \* \*

**OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) for Baltimore County for consideration of a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the property, Eugene C. Salvo, Jr. & Doris Salvo Gryctz (“Petitioners”). The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief pursuant to §§ 1A04.3.B.2.b and 400.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (“B.C.Z.R) as follows: (1) To permit a side yard setback of 10 ft. in lieu of the permitted 50 ft. and for an addition (one story, two-car garage) to an existing dwelling; and (2) To permit an existing shed in the front yard in lieu of the permitted rear yard. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners’ Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. A ZAC comment was received from the Bureau of Development Plans Review (DPR) dated March 9, 2015, indicating that the ground elevation at the proposed garage is not within the tidal floodplain.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on March 4, 2015, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by § 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code (B.C.C.). Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of § 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this 26<sup>th</sup> day of **March**, 2015 by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from §§ 1A04.3.B.2.b and 400.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (“B.C.Z.R) as follows: (1) To permit a side yard setback of 10 ft. in lieu of the permitted 50 ft. and for an addition (one story, two-car garage) to an existing dwelling; and (2) To permit an existing shed in the front yard in lieu of the permitted rear yard, be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

- Petitioners may apply for necessary permits and/or licenses upon receipt of this Order. However, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until 30 days from the date hereof, during which time an appeal can be filed by any party. If for whatever reason this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return the subject property to its original condition.
- Petitioners must comply with Critical Area regulations prior to building permit application.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signed  
JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN  
Administrative Law Judge for  
Baltimore County

JEB:dlw