

<b>IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE</b>	*	BEFORE THE
<b>(5612 New Forge Road)</b>		
11 <sup>th</sup> Election District	*	OFFICE OF
5 <sup>th</sup> Council District		
Kristi K. Rasmussen & Daniel P. Mullahey	*	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
Petitioners		
	*	FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY
	*	<b>Case No. 2015-0012-A</b>

\* \* \* \* \*

**OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) for Baltimore County as a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the subject property, Kristi K. Rasmussen and Daniel P. Mullahey (“Petitioners”). The variance request is from § 400.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (“B.C.Z.R.”), to permit an accessory building (proposed storage shed) to be located in the side yard of the dwelling in lieu of the required rear yard. The subject property and requested relief are more particularly described on Petitioners’ Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC) comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. There were no adverse ZAC comments submitted from any of the County reviewing agencies; however, it is to be noted that a letter of support was signed by adjacent neighbors at 5610 and 5614 New Forge Road.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on July 31, 2014, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by § 32-3-303 of the Baltimore County Code. Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of

the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of § 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, by the Administrative Law Judge for Baltimore County, this 19<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2014, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from § 400.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (“B.C.Z.R.”), to permit an accessory building (proposed storage shed) to be located in the side yard of the dwelling in lieu of the required rear yard, be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

- Petitioners may apply for any appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signed \_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN E. BEVERUNGEN  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Baltimore County

JEB:dlw