BALTIMORE COUNTY

Baltimore County Executive Kevin Kamenetz
and the County Council

NPDES - MUNICIPAL STORMWATER DISCHARGE PERMIT

2016 ANNUAL REPORT

Vincent J. Gardina, Director
Department of Environmental Protection and Sustainability

December 23, 2016

Table of Contents

Section 1 - Permit Administration and Legal Authority 1-1
1.0 Permit Requirements 1-1
1.1 Permit Administration 1-1
1.2 Legal Authority 1-2
1.2.1 Stormwater Remediation Fee 1-2
Section 2 - Source Identification and Databases 2-1
2.0 Permit Requirements 2-1
2.1 Source Identification – Geographic Information System Data Layers 2-2
2.1.1 Storm Drain System 2-3
2.1.2 Industrial/Commercial Sources 2-3
2.1.3 Urban Best Management Practices 2-4
2.1.4 Impervious Surfaces 2-4
2.1.5 Monitoring Locations 2-4
2.1.6 Water Quality Improvement Projects 2-5
2.2 Databases 2-5
2.2.1 Chemical Monitoring Results 2-5
2.2.2 Pollutant Load Reductions 2-5
2.2.3 Biological, Habitat, Geomorphic, and Bacteria Monitoring 2-5
2.2.4 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Activities 2-6
2.2.5 Responsable Personnel Certification Information 2-6
2.2.6 Grading Permit Information 2-6
2.2.7 Fiscal Analyses 2-6
2.3 NPDES MS4 Geodatabase Migration 2-8
Section 3 - Stormwater Management Program 3-1
3.0 Permit Requirements 3-1
3.1 Introduction 3-2
3.2 Plan, Variance, and Fee-in-lieu Reviews 3-2
3.2.1 Plan Reviews 3-2
3.2.2 Variance and Fee-in-lieu Reviews 3-2
3.3 Approved Stormwater Management Facility Analysis 3-3
3.3.1 As-built Analysis 3-9
3.4 Inspections 3-10
3.5 Stormwater Management Facility Maintenance 3-10
3.6 Constructed Stormwater Management Facility Data Analysis 3-11
3.7 Pollutant Loads 3-12
3.8 BMP Data Maintenance 3-16
3.9 Summary 3-16
Section 4 - Erosion and Sediment Control 4-1
4.0 Permit Requirements 4-1
4.1 Introduction 4-1
4.2 Program Analysis – Plans Review 4-2
4.3 Program Analysis – Inspection and Enforcement 4-2
4.3.1 Grading and Building Permits Issued 4-2
4.3.2 Inspections, Complaints and Enforcement 4-7
4.4 Program Analysis - Training Program 4-7
4.5 MDE Delegation 4-7
Section 5 - Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Program 5-1
5.0 Permit Requirements 5-1
5.1 Introduction 5-1
5.2 Program Status 5-2
5.3 Analysis of Outfall Screenings 5-2

5.4 Enforcement 5-12
5.5 Illicit Connections Investigations and Corrections 5-13
5.6 Commercial/ Industrial Pollution Surveys (Hotspots) 5-47
5.6.1 Confirmed Hotspots 5-48
5.6.2 Hotspot Site Investigations Program Summary 5-51
5.7 Outreach 5-74
5.8 IDDE Research 5-74
5.9 Illicit Discharge Elimination – Program Credit 5-74
5.10 Summary 5-77
Section 6 - Trash and Litter 6-1
6.0 Permit Requirements 6-1
6.1 Introduction 6-2
6.2 TMDL Compliance 6-2
6.2.1 Trash and Litter Reduction Strategy 6-3
6.2.2 Trash TMDL Implementation Plan 6-3
6.2.3 Inventory and Evaluation of Operations and Outreach 6-3
6.2.4 Public Education and Outreach 6-4
6.2.5 Program Updates 6-5
6.2.6 Program Effectiveness 6-5
6.3 Monitoring 6-6
6.3.1 Fixed and Random Study (in-stream monitoring) 6-6
6.3.2 Upland Trash Assessment 6-13
6.4 Watershed Trash Loading Calculations 6-20
6.5 Load Removal in 2016 6-22
6.5.1 Street Sweeping/Inlet and Pipe Cleaning Trash Removal 6-22
6.5.2 Ongoing Litter Removal from Stormwater Management Facilities 6-23
6.5.3 Clean Green 15 Challenge 6-23
6.5.4 Watershed Association Stream Clean Ups and Project Clean Stream 6-24
6.6 Progress towards the Trash TMDL 6-25
Section 7 - Property Management and Maintenance 7-1
7.0 Permit Requirements 7-1
7.1 Introduction 7-1
7.2 General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity - Compliance of County Facilities with the General Industrial Stormwater Discharge Permit 7-2
7.2.1 Regulated County Facilities - Status of NOIs and SWPPPs 7-2
7.2.2 Restoration Plans for Permitted Sites (General Government) 7-6
7.2.3 Employee Training 7-6
7.2.4 Site Inspections by MDE 7-6
7.3 Pollution Reduction Due to County Maintenance Programs 7-7
7.3.1 Storm Drain Cleaning 7-7
7.3.2 Street Sweeping Overview 7-13
7.3.3 Fertilizer, Pesticide, and Deicing Statistics 7-17
7.4 Household Hazardous Waste 7-22
7.4.1 Materials Reported in Gallons 7-24
7.4.2 Materials Reported in Pounds 7-26
7.4.3 Materials Reported by Number 7-29
7.5 NPDES Management Committee 7-29
7.6 Sanitary Sewer Repair Tracking 7-29
7.6.1 Data Sources and Methodology 7-29
7.6.2 Summary of Sewer Repairs Associated with Bacteria Monitoring 7-32

Section 8 - Public Education 8-1
8.0 Permit Requirements 8-1
8.1 Introduction 8-1
8.2 Public Reporting of Water Quality Complaints 8-2
8.3 Public Outreach and Education 8-2
8.3.1 Outreach 8-3
8.3.2 Education 8-5
8.4 Information Regarding Water Quality Issues to the Regulated Community 8-6
8.4.1 NPDES Permitting Requirements 8-6
8.4.2 Pollution Prevention Plan Development 8-6
8.4.3 Proper Housekeeping 8-7
8.4.4 Spill prevention and response 8-7
8.5 Continuing public outreach related to TMDL Implementation Plans and Trash & Litter Reduction Strategy 8-7
8.5.1 NPDES Management Committee 8-7
8.5.2 Local Watershed Associations 8-7
8.5.3 Business Community Outreach 8-7
8.5.4 Trash and Litter Reduction Outreach 8-8
8.5.5 Continuing Public Outreach 8-8
8.5.6 Education and Outreach Consultant 8-8
8.6 Summary 8-9
Section 9 - Assessment of Controls 9-1
9.0 Permit Requirements 9-1
9.1 Introduction 9-2
9.2 Scotts Level Branch Long-Term Monitoring 9-2
9.2.1 Monitoring Design 9-3
9.2.2 Scotts Level Branch Long-Term Site Monitoring Results 9-7
9.2.3 McDonogh Road Stream Restoration 9-27
9.3 Windlass Run Monitoring – Stormwater Management Assessment 9-31
9.3.1 Stream Geomorphic Monitoring 9-32
9.3.2 Windlass Run Monitoring Results 9-42
9.4 Countywide Monitoring 9-44
9.4.1 Chemical Monitoring Program 9-44
9.4.2 Bacteria TMDL Monitoring 9-51
9.4.3 Biological Monitoring 9-124
9.4.4 Submerged Aquatic Vegetation Monitoring Program 9-149
Section 10 - Watershed Planning, Restoration Progress, and Total Maximum Daily Loads 10-1
10.0 Permit Requirements 10-1
10.1 Introduction 10-3
10.2 Status of Watershed Management Plans 10-4
10.2.1 Water Quality Management Plans 10-4
10.2.2 Small Watershed Action Plans (SWAPs) 10-4
10.2.3 Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Implementation Plans 10-8
10.3 Pollutant Load Reduction Calculations and Crediting Actions 10-13
10.4 Restoration Progress 10-14
10.4.1 EPS Restoration Programs 10-14
10.4.2 DPW Restoration Programs 10-46
10.4.3 Local Watershed Associations Restoration Efforts 10-53
10.4.4 Redevelopment/Revitalization Pollutant Load Reductions 10-57
10.4.5 Restoration Summary 10-62
10.5 Progress in Meeting Impervious Surface Restoration Requirements 10-82

10.6 Progress in Meeting Local TMDLs and the Chesapeake Bay TMDL 10-85
10.6.1 Local TMDLs 10-85
10.6.2 Chesapeake Bay TMDL 10-93
Section 11 - Program Funding 11-1
11.0 Permit Requirements 11-1
11.1 SB863 – Financial Assurance Plan 11-1
11.2 Fiscal Year 2017 Budget Detail 11-1

Tables

Table 1-1: Major NPDES Program Tasks and Responsible Baltimore County Agencies 1-1
Table 2-1: Relationship between Source Identification Topic, Section Discussion, and Attachment A Databases . 2-2
Table 2-2: File locations of data layers and databases 2-7
Table 2-3. NPDES MS4 Geodatabase Item Submittal Status 2-9
Table 3-1: Fee-in-lieu money received from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016 3-3
Table 3-2: Approved Stormwater Management Facilities by Watershed through Fiscal Year 2016 3-4
Table 3-3: Total Facilities Built by Watershed and Ownership through Fiscal Year 2016 3-5
Table 3-4: Count and Drainage Area of SWM Facilities with Missing As-builts as of June 30, 2016 3-9
Table 3-5: Load Reductions from SWM Facilities with Missing As-builts 3-9
Table 3-6: SWM Inspections from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016 3-10
Table 3-7: Stormwater Facility Maintenance Visits by Type FY 2016 3-11
Table 3-8: Constructed SWM Facility Drainage Area Land Use (Acres) through June 30, 2016 3-11
Table 3-9: Total Nitrogen Removal by SWM Facility Type and Watershed (pounds) 3-13
Table 3-10: Total Phosphorus Removal by SWM Facility Type and Watershed (pounds) 3-14
Table 3-11: Total Suspended Solids Removal by SWM Facility Type and Watershed (tons) 3-15
Table 4-1: Number of Grading Permits and Acreage of Disturbance by Watershed for FY2016 4-3
Table 4-2: Number of Building Permits by Watershed for FY2016 4-4
Table 4-3: Number of Grading and Building Permits by Year 4-6
Table 4-4: Sediment Control Inspection and Enforcement Data for FY12-FY16. 4-7
Table 5-1: Timeline for Completing Small Outfall Screenings 5-3
Table 5-2: Major and Minor Outfalls by Watershed and Priority Classification 5-4
Table 5-3: Non-prioritized outfalls by Watershed 5-4
Table 5-4: Summary of 2015 Outfalls with a Quantitative Issue 5-9
Table 5-5: Summary of Complaint Status 2015 5-13
Table 5-6: Change in Status Complaints from 2014 5-14
Table 5-7 Complaints Processed for calendar year 2015 5-18
Table 5-8 Hotspot Investigations Conducted from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016 5-48
Table 5-9: SWAP Complaints Processed from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016 5-49
Table 5-10: Advanced Nutrient Reduction Programs in fiscal year 2016 5-75
Table 5-11: Advance Program Credit taken for fiscal year 2016 5-77
Table 6-1: 2015 Fixed and Random Site Information 6-8
Table 6-2: Results of 1-way ANOVA 6-10
Table 6-3: Comparison of Fixed Site Results 2013-2015 (#s/Acre) 6-10
Table 6-4: Pounds of Trash Collected by Sorting Category 6-11
Table 6-5: Count of Bottles and Cans Collected 6-11
Table 6-6: Trash Assessment Results (2015 Pilot) 6-14
Table 6-7: 2016 Trash Assessment Results 6-16
Table 6-8: Trash Loading Rates by Land Use 6-21
Table 6-9: Baltimore County Watersheds - Annual Trash Loading Rates 6-21
Table 6-10: Trash Removed by Watershed through Street Sweeping and Storm Drain Cleaning in FY2016 6-22
Table 6-11: Trash Removed from Stormwater Management Facilities FY2016 6-23

Table 6-12: Clean Green 15 Results FY 2016 6-24
Table 6-13: 2015 Watershed Association and Project Clean Stream Clean-ups by Watershed 6-25
Table 6-14: Trash TMDL Implementation Actions and Trash Reductions for Existing Programs 6-26
Table 7-1: General SW Discharge Permit (12-SW) – FY 2016 Compliance Status of Baltimore County Industrial Sites 7-3
Table 7-2: Removal Rates of Inlet and Pipe Cleaning by Year 7-8
Table 7-3: FY 2016 Material Removed in Cubic Yards by Watershed 7-12
Table 7-4: FY 2016 Storm Drain Cleaning Program Pollutant Removal (Pounds) and Impervious Urban Acres Treated 7-13
Table 7-5: Annual Street Sweeping Summary 7-13
Table 7-6: FY 2016 Street Sweeping Program Pollutant Removal (Pounds) and Impervious Urban Acres Treated .. 7-16
Table 7-7: July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016 County Agency Fertilizer, Pesticide and Deicing Materials Use (in Pounds) 7-17
Table 7-8: Annual Fertilizer, Pesticide and Deicing Materials Used By County Agencies (in Pounds) 7-20
Table 7-9: Household Hazardous Waste Recycled (2008-2016) 7-23
Table 7-10: Lower North Branch Patapsco River – Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs 7-33
Table 7-11: Lower North Branch Patapsco River – To Be Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs 7-33
Table 7-12: Jones Falls – Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs 7-34
Table 7-13: Jones Falls – To Be Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs 7-34
Table 7-14: Gwynns Falls –Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs 7-35
Table 7-15: Gwynns Falls –To Be Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs 7-35
Table 7-16: Loch Raven Reservoir –Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs 7-36
Table 7-17: Loch Raven Reservoir – To Be Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs 7-36
Table 7-18: Back River –Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs 7-37
Table 7-19: Back River –To Be Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs 7-37
Table 8-1: Education Presentations, Distributions and Events by Type of Audience*, FY2016 8-3
Table 8-2 Watershed Group Events and Participation, FY2016 8-6
Table 9-1: SL-01 Regression Equations Relationship Between Discharge (CFS) and Pollutant Concentrations 9-7
Table 9-2: SL-09 Regression Equations Relationship Between Discharge (CFS) and Pollutant Concentrations 9-8
Table 9-3: 2015 Mean Daily Baseflow Pollutant Loads for Scott's Level Branch Sites 9-9
Table 9-4: Pollutant Load Characteristics for USGS gaged in-stream site (SL-01) calendar year 2015 9-10
Table 9-5: Pollutant Load Characteristics for Outfall site (SL-09) calendar year 2015 9-11
Table 9-6: Scotts Level Branch Cross Sections - Annualized Cut and Fill Amounts 9-14
Table 9-7: Scotts Level Branch Stream Channel Changes Over Time. 9-16
Table 9-8: Powder Mill Run Cross Sections - Cut and Fill Amounts 9-17
Table 9-9: Powder Mill Run, 2014-2015 and 2006-2015 Stream Channel Changes 9-19
Table 9-10: 2015 Pollutant Load Estimates and Calculations for Stream Bank Soil Sediment and Nutrients 9-23
Table 9-11: Calculated Watershed Loads Delivered Based on SL-09 Monitoring Data 9-25
Table 9-12: 2015 Watershed Pollutant Load Estimates Compared to Water Quality Monitoring at SL-01 9-25
Table 9-13: Land Use and CBP Watershed Model 5.3 Loading Rates for SL-01 Drainage Area and Calculated Loads 9-26
Table 9-14: BIBI, FIBI and PHI values for McDonogh Road restoration biological monitoring stations 9-30
Table 9-15: Mean BIBI, FIBI and PHI values for McDonogh Road restoration biological monitoring stations 9-31
Table 9-16: Windlass Run Cross Sections - Annualized Cut and Fill Amounts 9-42
Table 9-17: Windlass Run BIBI Scores from 2014 and 2015 9-44
Table 9-18: Pollutant Load Analysis, Standardized by Drainage Area Acreage, 2015 9-47
Table 9-19: New Bacteria Trend Sites added in 2015 9-51
Table 9-20: Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Station Locations 9-53
Table 9-21: Prettyboy Reservoir Watershed E. coli Results on an Annual and Seasonal Basis 9-54
Table 9-22: Frequency of Exceedance of Single Sample Water Quality Standards 9-56

Table 9-23: Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Station Locations 9-58
Table 9-24: Loch Raven Reservoir Watershed E. coli Results on an Annual and Seasonal Basis 9-58
Table 9-25: Frequency of Exceedance of Single Sample Water Quality Standards 9-64
Table 9-26: Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Station Locations 9-67
Table 9-27: Liberty Reservoir Watershed E. coli Results on an Annual and Seasonal Basis 9-68
Table 9-28: Frequency of Exceedance of Single Sample Water Quality Standards 9-72
Table 9-29: Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Station Locations 9-73
Table 9-30: Lower North Branch of the Patapsco River E. coli Results on an Annual and Seasonal Basis 9-74
Table 9-31: Frequency of Exceedance of Single Sample Water Quality Standards 9-80
Table 9-32: Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Station Locations 9-81
Table 9-33: Gwynns Falls E. coli Results on an Annual and Seasonal Basis 9-82
Table 9-34: Frequency of Exceedance of Single Sample Water Quality Standards 9-87
Table 9-35: Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Station Locations 9-88
Table 9-36: Jones Falls E. coli Results on an Annual and Seasonal Basis 9-89
Table 9-37: Frequency of Exceedance of Single Sample Water Quality Standards 9-95
Table 9-38: Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Station Locations 9-96
Table 9-39: Herring Run Annual Geometric Mean by Weather 9-97
Table 9-40: Frequency of Exceedance of Single Sample Water Quality Standards 9-102
Table 9-41: Prettyboy Reservoir Subwatershed Prioritization Site Locations and Descriptions 9-103
Table 9-42: Prettyboy Reservoir Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results and Drainage Area Properties, SWAP Area T 9-105
Table 9-43: Loch Raven Subwatershed Prioritization Site Locations and Descriptions 9-106
Table 9-44: Loch Raven Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results and Drainage Area Properties, SWAP Area I 9-109
Table 9-45: Loch Raven Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results and Drainage Area Properties, SWAP Area O 9-109
Table 9-46: Loch Raven Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results and Drainage Area Properties, SWAP Area R 9-110
Table 9-47: Loch Raven Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results and Drainage Area Properties, SWAP Area W ... 9-111
Table 9-48: Loch Raven Reservoir Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results and Drainage Area Properties, SWAP Area X 9-112
Table 9-49: Jones Falls Subwatershed Prioritization Site Locations and Descriptions 9-113
Table 9-50: Jones Falls Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results and Drainage Area Properties, SWAP Area M9-115
Table 9-51: Jones Falls Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results and Drainage Area Properties, SWAP Area G 9-115
Table 9-52: Jones Falls Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results and Drainage Area Properties, SWAP Area H 9-116
Table 9-53: Gwynns Falls Subwatershed Prioritization Site Locations and Descriptions 9-116
Table 9-54: Gwynns Falls Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results and Drainage Area Properties, SWAP Area C . 9-118
Table 9-55: Gwynns Falls Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results and Drainage Area Properties, SWAP Area V . 9-118
Table 9-56: Lower North Branch Patapsco Prioritization Site Locations and Descriptions 9-119
Table 9-57: Patapsco Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results and Drainage Area Properties, SWAP Area A ... 9-121
Table 9-58: Liberty Reservoir Prioritization Site Locations and Descriptions 9-122
Table 9-59: Liberty Reservoir Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results and Drainage Area Properties, SWAP Area S 9-124
Table 9-60: BIBI Piedmont Metrics 9-126
Table 9-61: BIBI Coastal Plain Metrics 9-126
Table 9-62: BIBI Score Distribution by Watershed (% by Category) 9-127
Table 9-63: Watershed Biological Condition Using Percent Stream Mile Method 9-132
Table 9-64: Stream Restoration Biological Monitoring Site Locations 9-139
Table 9-65: BIB, FIBI, and PHI values for 2015 at Capital Programs Stream Restoration Projects 9-139

Table 9-66: Reference Site Locations 9-143
Table 9-67: Metrics used for Tidal IBI Calculations 9-146
Table 9-68: 2014 Tidal BIBI Results 9-147
Table 9-69: 2015 Tidal BIBI Results 9-147
Table 9-70: SAV Standards and Baltimore County SAV Monitoring Results (2013-2015) 9-151
Table 10-1: SWAP Schedule 10-6
Table 10-2: TMDL, WQA, and Impairment Listing Status by Watershed and Tidal Segment 10-9
Table 10-3 Pollutant Load Reduction SOPs 10-13
Table 10-4: CPO Projects in the Loch Raven Reservoir Watershed 10-16
Table 10-5: CPO Projects in the Lower Gunpowder Falls Watershed 10-17
Table 10-6: Bird River Watershed – CIP Status 10-18
Table 10-7: Gunpowder River Watershed – CIP Status 10-19
Table 10-8: Middle River Watershed – CIP Status 10-20
Table 10-9: Patapsco River Watershed – CIP Status 10-21
Table 10-10: CPO Projects in the Gwynns Falls Watershed 10-22
Table 10-11: Jones Falls Watershed – CIP Status 10-23
Table 10-12: CPO Projects in the Back River Watershed 10-24
Table 10-13: CPO Projects in the Baltimore Harbor Watershed 10-26
Table 10-14: Baltimore County Non-Mitigation Reforestation Projects by Watershed Through FY13 10-29
Table 10-15: Baltimore County Non-Mitigation Reforestation Projects by Watershed FY14 10-29
Table 10-16: Baltimore County Non-Mitigation Reforestation Projects by Watershed FY15 10-29
Table 10-17: Baltimore County Non-Mitigation Reforestation Projects by Watershed FY16 10-30
Table 10-18: Baltimore County Non-Mitigation Proposed Reforestation Projects 10-31
Table 10-19: Cool Trees Planting Projects by Watershed Through FY13 10-32
Table 10-20: Growing Home Trees Planted by Watershed Through FY13 10-32
Table 10-21: Big Tree Sale #s and Associated Nutrient Reductions Through FY13 10-33
Table 10-22: Big Tree Sale #s FY14 by 8 Digit Watershed and Associated Nutrient Reductions 10-34
Table 10-23: Big Tree Sale #s FY15 by 8 Digit Watershed and Associated Nutrient Reductions 10-34
Table 10-24: Big Tree Sale #s FY16 by 8 Digit Watershed and Associated Nutrient Reductions 10-35
Table 10-25: OSDS Strategy for Meeting Nitrogen Reductions Targets by 2025 10-36
Table 10-26: FY 2012 and FY 2013 - Number of Denitrifying Systems Installed by Type and Removal Efficiency for Replacement Systems Only 10-36
Table 10-27: FY 2014 and 2015 - Number of Denitrifying Systems Installed by Type and Removal Efficiency for Replacement Systems Only 10-37
Table 10-28: FY 2016 - Number of Denitrifying Systems Installed by Type and Removal Efficiency for Replacement Systems Only 10-37
Table 10-29: OSDS Upgrades to Denitrifying Systems July 1, 2011 Through June 30, 2013 (FY12 - FY13) by Watershed for Replacement Systems Only 10-39
Table 10-30: OSDS Upgrades to Denitrifying Systems July 1, 2013 Through June 30, 2015 (FY14-FY15) by Watershed for Replacement Systems Only 10-40
Table 10-31: OSDS Upgrades to Denitrifying Systems July 1, 2015 Through June 30, 2016 (FY16) by Watershed for Replacement Systems Only 10-41
Table 10-32: OSDS Pump-outs July 1, 2014 Through June 30, 2015 by Watershed (FY2015) 10-42
Table 10-33: OSDS Pump-outs July 1, 2015 Through June 30, 2016 by Watershed (FY2016) 10-43
Table 10-34: Septic to Sewer Connections completed between 10/18/2011 and 1/23/2014 (approx.FY2012 - FY2013) 10-44
Table 10-35: Septic to Sewer Connections completed between 1/23/2014 and 8/20/2015 (approx. FY2014-FY2015) 10-45
Table 10-36: Septic to Sewer Connections completed between 8/20/2015 and 8/20/2016 (approx. FY2016) 10-46
Table 10-37: DPW Stormwater Projects Associated With the Industrial Permit 10-47
Table 10-38: Current DPW Stormwater Restoration Projects 10-49

Table 10-39: Removed DPW Stormwater Restoration Projects 10-49
Table 10-40: Baltimore County Rain Barrel Sales by Fiscal Year 10-50
Table 10-41: Baltimore County Rain Barrel Total Sales and Associated Nutrient Reductions Through FY13 by 8 Digit Watershed 10-51
Table 10-42: Baltimore County Rain Barrel Sales FY14 & 15 by 8 Digit Watershed and Associated Nutrient Reductions 10-52
Table 10-43: Baltimore County Rain Barrel Sales FY16 by 8 Digit Watershed and Associated Nutrient Reductions 10-53
Table 10-44: Watershed Groups' Projects Pollutant Reductions FY13 10-54
Table 10-45: Watershed Groups' Projects Pollutant Reductions FY14 10-54
Table 10-46: Watershed Groups' Projects Pollutant Reductions FY15 10-55
Table 10-47: Watershed Groups' Projects Pollutant Reductions FY16 10-55
Table 10-48: Watershed Group Projects Pollutant Reductions Through FY13 by Watershed 10-56
Table 10-49: Watershed Group Projects Pollutant Reductions FY14 & 15 by Watershed 10-56
Table 10-50: Watershed Group Projects Pollutant Reductions FY16 by Watershed 10-57
Table 10-51: Pollutant Load Reduction as a Result of Redevelopment/Revitalization Projects 10-58
Table 10-52: Pollutant Load Reduction as a Result of Redevelopment/Revitalization Projects (July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2016) 10-60
Table 10-53: Future Pollutant Load Reduction as a Result of Redevelopment/Revitalization Projects 10-61
Table 10-54: Impervious Removal Projects Pollutant Reductions Through FY13 by Watershed 10-61
Table 10-55: Impervious Removal Projects Pollutant Reductions FY14 & 15 by Watershed 10-62
Table 10-56: Impervious Removal Projects Pollutant Reductions FY16 by Watershed 10-62
Table 10-57: Progress Made in Pollutant Load Reductions and Impervious Area Treated Through FY13 10-63
Table 10-58: Progress Made in Pollutant Load Reductions and Impervious Area Treated – July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2015 (FY14& FY15) 10-67
Table 10-59: Progress Made in Pollutant Load Reductions and Impervious Area Treated – July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016 (FY16) 10-72
Table 10-60: Pollutant load Reductions and Impervious Area Treated by Watershed Through FY2013 10-80
Table 10-61: Pollutant load Reductions and Impervious Area Treated by Watershed FY14-FY15 10-81
Table 10-62: Pollutant load Reductions and Impervious Area Treated by Watershed FY2016 10-82
Table 10-63: Baltimore County Impervious Area by Watershed – Calculated for 2002 10-83
Table 10-64: Impervious Area Treated Through June 30, 2016 10-85
Table 10-65: Seasonal Dry Weather Results and Sanitary Sewer System Repairs 10-86
Table 10-66: TMDL Reduction Requirements for Nutrient and Sediment TMDLs 10-89
Table 10-67: Progress in Meeting the Local Nutrient and Sediment TMDLs to date 10-90
Table 10-68: Collection of PCB Containing Materials Over the Last Three Fiscal Years 10-93
Table 10-69: 2-year Milestone Targets for Each Restoration Strategy 10-94
Table 10-70: Expected Nitrogen Reductions through the Three 2-Year Milestones 10-95
Table 10-71: Expected Phosphorus Reductions through the Three 2-Year Milestones 10-96
Table 10-72: 2-year Milestone Progress on Restoration Strategies and Percent of Target Achieved 10-97
Table 10-73: Progress in the Reduction of Nitrogen by Strategy for the First Two Sets of 2-year Milestone Periods (Delivered Load, #s) 10-98
Table 10-74: Progress in the Reduction of Phosphorus Strategy for the First Three Sets of 2-year Milestone Periods (Delivered Load, #s) 10-99
Table 10-75: Actual Acres of Disturbance versus Predicted Acres of Disturbance (FY2016) 10-100
Table 10-76: Difference between Modeled and Actual Nitrogen Loading Rates Due to Construction 10-101
Table 10-77: Difference between Modeled and Actual Phosphorus Loading Rates Due to Construction 10-102
Table 10-78: Load Reductions Due to Development of Quarries 10-103
Table 10-79: Total Reductions in Relation to Target Reductions 10-103
Table 10-80: Progress in Meeting the First three sets of 2-Year Milestones for OSDS Remediation 10-104
Table 11-1: Summary of Operating Budget for Fiscal Year 2017 11-2

Table 11-2: Summary of Capital Budget – FY2017 and Prior Authorizations 11-3

Figures

Figure 3-1: Number of Approved SWM Facilities by Watershed through Fiscal Year 2016 3-7
Figure 3-2: Acreage Served by Approved Private SWM Facilities by Watershed through Fiscal Year 2016 3-8
Figure 3-3: Acreage Served by Approved Public SWM Facilities by Watershed through Fiscal Year 2016 3-8
Figure 4-1: Acres of Disturbance through approved grading permits by watershed for FY2016. 4-4
Figure 4-2: Number of building permits issued by watershed in FY2016. 4-5
Figure 4-3: Approved Grading and Building Permits for the Period 1998 – FY2016. 4-6
Figure 5-1: Major Outfall Prioritization. Note most outfalls are inside the Urban-Rural Demarcation Line (URDL)5-5
Figure 5-2: Minor Outfall Prioritization. Note most outfalls are inside the Urban-Rural Demarcation Line (URDL) 5-6
Figure 5-3 Minor Outfall Screening Priority Distribution 5-7
Figure 5-4: Major Outfall Screening Priority Distribution 5-7
Figure 5-5: Major Outfalls Number of quantitative problems detected 5-8
Figure 5-6: Minor Outfalls Number of *quantitative* problems detected 5-9
Figure 5-7: Major Outfalls Number of *qualitative* problems visually observed. 5-11
Figure 5-8: Minor Outfalls Number of *qualitative* problems visually observed. 5-11
Figure 5-9: Involvement of the Regional Programs in the Investigation of Illicit Connections 5-13
Figure 5-10: HSI Locations in Area A 5-53
Figure 5-11: HSI Locations in Area B 5-54
Figure 5-12: HSI Locations in Area C 5-55
Figure 5-13: HSI Locations in Area D 5-56
Figure 5-14: HSI Locations in Area E 5-57
Figure 5-15: HSI Locations in Area F 5-58
Figure 5-16: HSI Locations in Area G 5-59
Figure 5-17: HSI Locations in Area H 5-60
Figure 5-18: HSI Locations in Area K 5-61
Figure 5-19: HSI Locations in Area L 5-62
Figure 5-20: HSI Locations in Area M 5-63
Figure 5-21: HSI Locations in Area N 5-64
Figure 5-22: HSI Locations in Area O 5-65
Figure 5-23: HSI Locations in Area P 5-66
Figure 5-24: HSI Locations in Area R 5-67
Figure 5-25: HSI Locations in Area S 5-68
Figure 5-26: HSI Locations in Area T 5-69
Figure 5-27: HSI Locations in Area U 5-70
Figure 5-28: HSI Locations in Area V 5-71
Figure 5-29: HSI Locations in Area W 5-72
Figure 5-30: HSI Locations in Area X 5-73
Figure 5-31: Locations in Baltimore County which qualify for program credit 5-76
Figure 6-1: Map of 2015 Fixed and Random Monitoring Locations 6-7
Figure 6-2: Fixed Stream Sites Loading Rates 6-12
Figure 6-3: Fixed Stream Sites Total Pounds 6-12
Figure 6-4: Random Stream Sites Loading Rates 6-13
Figure 6-5: Random Stream Sites Total Pounds 6-13
Figure 6-6: Map of 2016 Upland Trash Hotspot and Potential Hotspot Sites 6-15
Figure 6-7: Photo one of alley behind site GF-16-4-69 6-17
Figure 6-8: Photo two of alley behind site GF-16-4-69 6-17

Figure 6-9: Photo of alley behind site GF-16-4-12 6-18
Figure 6-10: Photo of grassy area, along stream, behind GF-16-4-12 6-19
Figure 6-11: Photo of area next to trash compactor at GF-16-4-39 6-20
Figure 7-1: Inlets Cleaned and Volume of Material Removed per Year 7-9
Figure 7-2: Annual Inlet Debris Removal Rates 7-9
Figure 7-3: Length of Pipe Cleaned and Volume of Material Removed per Year 7-10
Figure 7-4: Annual Pipe Debris Removal Rates 7-10
Figure 7-5: Miles of Street Swept, Tons of Material Removed, and Tons/Mile Swept 7-14
Figure 7-6: Trends in Annual Fertilizer and Pesticide Used by County Agencies 7-21
Figure 7-7: Trends in Annual Deicing Material Used by County Agencies 7-22
Figure 7-8: Motor Oil and Anti-freeze Recycled from 1991 through FY 2016 * 2012 data reflects on January – June of that year 7-25
Figure 7-9: Household Hazardous Waste Recycling of Solvents, Gasoline, and Pesticides from 1998 to FY 2016 7-28
Figure 7-10: Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Locations with Sewer Repair Data 7-31
Figure 9-1: Scotts Level Branch Chemical Monitoring Locations 9-4
Figure 9-2: Scotts Level Branch Geomorphic and Biological Monitoring Site Locations 9-6
Figure 9-3: Powder Mill Run Chemical, Geomorphic and Biological Monitoring Sites 9-6
Figure 9-4: Scotts Level Branch pollutant loads at SL-01 gage from 2007-2015 (adjusted for average annual rainfall) 9-13
Figure 9-5: Scotts Level Branch Geomorphic Cross Section 1 Overlay showing differences in channel morphology between the 2015 and 2016 surveys. 9-14
Figure 9-6: (a) Scotts Level Branch and (b) Powder Mill Run IBI Scores, 2015. 9-21
Figure 9-7: (a) Scotts Level Branch and (b) Powder Mill Run Physical Habitat Index 2015. 9-22
Figure 9-8: Scotts Level Branch McDonogh Road Restoration Water Chemistry Monitoring Locations 9-27
Figure 9-9: McDonogh Road 2015 and 2016 cross-section overlays. 9-29
Figure 9-10: Windlass Run Aerial Photograph Showing Monitoring Station Locations. 9-33
Figure 9-11: Percentage impervious cover in Windlass Run watershed 2001 through 2014 9-35
Figure 9-12: Orthophotograph of Windlass Run watershed, 1995, with potential for development highlighted in red cross-hatching. 9-36
Figure 9-13: Windlass Run watershed orthophotograph, 2002. 9-37
Figure 9-14: Windlass Run orthophotograph, 2005. New development/grading is circled in red. 9-38
Figure 9-15: Windlass Run orthophotograph, 2008. New development/grading is circled in red. 9-39
Figure 9-16: Windlass Run orthophotograph, 2011. New development/grading is circled in red. 9-40
Figure 9-17: Windlass Run orthophotograph, 2014. New development/grading in red 9-41
Figure 9-18: Windlass Run BIBI Scores 9-43
Figure 9-19: Windlass Run PHI Scores from 2004-2015 9-44
Figure 9-20: Trend Monitoring Sites 9-46
Figure 9-21: Trend Total Nitrogen Mean Concentrations for Monitoring Year 2015 9-49
Figure 9-22: Trend Total Phosphorus Mean Concentrations for Monitoring Year 2015 9-50
Figure 9-23: Map of Bacteria TMDL Monitoring Stations 9-52
Figure 9-24: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PRE-1 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-55
Figure 9-25: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PRE-2 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-55
Figure 9-26: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PRE-3 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-56
Figure 9-27: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LOC-1 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-60
Figure 9-28: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LOC-2 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-61

Figure 9-29: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LOC-3 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-61
Figure 9-30: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LOC-5 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-62
Figure 9-31: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LOC-6 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-63
Figure 9-32: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LOC-7 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-63
Figure 9-33: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LIB-1 for Both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison. No data for 2010 and 2011..... 9-69
Figure 9-34: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LIB-2 for Both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison. No data for 2010 and 2011..... 9-69
Figure 9-35: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LIB-3 for Both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison. No data for 2010 and 2011..... 9-70
Figure 9-36: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LIB-4 for Both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison. No data for 2010 and 2011..... 9-70
Figure 9-37: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LIB-5 for Both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison. No data for 2010 and 2011..... 9-71
Figure 9-38: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PAT-1 for Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-75
Figure 9-39: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PAT-2 for Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-76
Figure 9-40: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PAT-3 for Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-77
Figure 9-41: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PAT-4 for Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-77
Figure 9-42: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PAT-5 for Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-78
Figure 9-43: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site GWY-1 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-83
Figure 9-44: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site GWY-2 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-83
Figure 9-45: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site GWY-5 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-84
Figure 9-46: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site GWY-6 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-84
Figure 9-47: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site DR-B-10 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition for first year of sampling, with GWY-5 for comparison..... 9-85
Figure 9-48: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site GF-B-8 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition for first year of sampling, with GWY-5 for comparison..... 9-85
Figure 9-49: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site JON-1 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-90
Figure 9-50: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site JON-2 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-90
Figure 9-51: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site JON-3 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-91
Figure 9-52: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site JON-4 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-91
Figure 9-53: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site JON-5 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-92

Figure 9-54: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site JF-B-12 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition for first year of sampling, with JON-1 for comparison 9-92
Figure 9-55: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site JF-B-13 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition for first year of sampling, with JON-1 for comparison 9-93
Figure 9-56: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at the Harford Road Site (HER-1) for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-98
Figure 9-57: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at the Pulaski Highway Site for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison. No Samples Collected in 2010. 9-98
Figure 9-58: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at the Biddle Street Site for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison. No Samples Collected in 2010 9-99
Figure 9-59: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site HR-B-12 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, HER-1 Results Added for Comparison. 9-99
Figure 9-60: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site HR-B-13 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, HER-1 Results Added for Comparison. 9-100
Figure 9-61: E. coli Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site HR-B-14 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, Biddle and Pulaski Results Added for Comparison. 9-100
Figure 9-62: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site HR-B-15 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, Biddle and Pulaski Results Added for Comparison. 9-101
Figure 9-63: Prettyboy Reservoir Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results 9-104
Figure 9-64: Loch Raven Reservoir Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results 9-108
Figure 9-65: Jones Falls Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results 9-114
Figure 9-66: Gwynns Falls Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results 9-117
Figure 9-67: Patapsco Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results 9-120
Figure 9-68: Liberty Subwatershed Prioritization Site Results 9-123
Figure 9-69: Means and one standard deviation of BIBI scores for Patapsco/Back River watersheds between 2003 and 2013. 9-131
Figure 9-70: Means and one standard deviation of BIBI scores of Gunpowder Falls/Deer Creek watersheds between 2004 and 2015. 9-131
Figure 9-71: Probabilistic Biological Monitoring results for 2014 and 2015. Sample points are superimposed on named Baltimore County subwatersheds. 9-135
Figure 9-72: BIBI rolling averages for Patapsco/Back River probabilistic monitoring sites between 2003 and 2015.9-136
Figure 9-73: BIBI rolling averages for Gunpowder/Deer Creek probabilistic monitoring sites between 2006 and 2014. 9-137
Figure 9-74: Mean BIBI scores for Patapsco/Back River Sentinel Sites between 2003 and 2015. 9-138
Figure 9-75: Mean BIBI scores for Gunpowder/Deer Creek Sentinel Sites between 2004 and 2014. 9-138
Figure 9-76: Minebank Run Biological Monitoring Stations 9-140
Figure 9-77: Redhouse Run Biological Monitoring Station Locations 9-142
Figure 9-78: Benthic IBI values for Reference Sites, 2003-2015 9-144
Figure 9-79: Physical Habitat Index values for Reference Sites, 2005-2015 9-145
Figure 9-80: Map of 2014 and 2015 tidal benthic sample results 9-148
Figure 9-81: 2014 Average Tidal BIBI Scores for Each Tidal System 9-149
Figure 9-82: 2015 Average Tidal BIBI Scores for Each Tidal System 9-149
Figure 9-83: Baltimore County SAV Monitoring Program – Trends in % Coverage 9-152
Figure 10-1: Baltimore County SWAP Status 10-7