BALTIMORE COUNTY

Baltimore County Executive Kevin Kamenetz
and the County Council

NPDES - Municipal Stormwater Discharge Permit

2015 Annual Report

CLEAN GREEN COUNTY

Vincent J. Gardina, Director
Department of Environmental Protection and Sustainability
December 23, 2015

Table of Contents

Section 1 - Permit Administration and Legal Authority 1-1

1.0 Permit Requirements 1-1

1.1 Permit Administration 1-1

1.2 Legal Authority 1-2

1.2.1 Stormwater Remediation Fee 1-2

Section 2 - Source Identification and Databases 2-1

2.0 Permit Requirements 2-1

2.1 Source Identification – Geographic Information System Data Layers 2-2

2.1.1 Storm Drain System 2-3

2.1.2 Industrial/Commercial Sources 2-3

2.1.3 Urban Best Management Practices 2-3

2.1.4 Impervious Surfaces 2-4

2.1.5 Monitoring Locations 2-4

2.1.6 Water Quality Improvement Projects 2-4

2.2 Databases 2-5

2.2.1 Chemical Monitoring Results 2-5

2.2.2 Pollutant Load Reductions 2-5

2.2.3 Biological and Habitat Monitoring 2-5

2.2.4 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Activities 2-6

2.2.5 Responsable Personnel Certification Information 2-6

2.2.6 Grading Permit Information 2-6

2.2.7 Fiscal Analyses 2-6

Section 3 - Stormwater Management Program 3-1

3.0 Permit requirements 3-1

3.1 Introduction 3-2

3.2 Plan, and Variance and Fee-in-lieu Reviews 3-2

3.2.1 Plan Reviews 3-2

3.2.2 Variance and Fee-in-lieu Reviews 3-2

3.3 Approved Stormwater Management Facility Analysis 3-3

3.3.1 As-built Analysis 3-9

3.4 Inspections 3-10

3.5 Stormwater Management Facility Maintenance 3-10

3.6 Constructed Stormwater Management Facility Data Analysis 3-11

3.7 Pollutant Loads 3-12

3.8 Historic BMP Clean-up Process 3-16

3.9 Summary **3-16**

Section 4 - Erosion and Sediment Control **4-1**

4.0 Permit Requirements **4-1**

4.1 Introduction **4-1**

4.2 Program Analysis – Plans Review **4-2**

4.3 Program Analysis – Inspection and Enforcement **4-2**

4.3.1 Grading and Building Permits Issued *4-2*

4.3.2 Inspections, Complaints and Enforcement *4-6*

4.4 Program Analysis - Training Program **4-6**

4.5 MDE Delegation **4-6**

Section 5 - Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Program **5-1**

5.0 Permit Requirements **5-1**

5.1 Introduction **5-1**

5.2 Program Status **5-2**

5.3 Analysis of Outfall Screenings **5-2**

5.4 Enforcement **5-11**

5.5 Illicit Connections Investigations and Corrections **5-13**

5.6 Commercial/ Industrial Pollution Surveys (Hotspots) **5-30**

5.6.1 Hotspot Site Investigations *5-30*

5.6.2 HSI Pilot Study *5-34*

5.6.2 Future Hotspot Site Investigations *5-40*

5.7 Outreach **5-40**

5.8 IDDE Research **5-40**

5.9 Illicit Discharge Elimination – Program Credit **5-41**

5.10 Summary **5-43**

Section 6 - Trash and Litter **6-1**

6.0 Permit Requirements **6-1**

6.1 Introduction **6-2**

6.2 TMDL Compliance **6-2**

6.2.1 Trash TMDL Implementation Plan *6-2*

6.2.2 Trash and Litter Reduction Strategy *6-3*

6.2.2.3 Inventory and Evaluation of Operations and Outreach *6-3*

6.2.2.4 Public Education and Outreach *6-4*

6.2.2.5 Program Effectiveness *6-4*

6.3 Monitoring **6-5**

6.3.1 Fixed and Random Study *6-5*

6.3.1.1 Results *6-7*

6.3.3 Upland Trash Assessment *6-12*

6.4 Watershed Trash Loading Calculations **6-14**

Section 7 - Property Management and Maintenance **7-1**

7.0 Permit Requirements **7-1**

7.1 Introduction **7-1**

7.2 General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity - Compliance of County Facilities with the General Industrial Stormwater Discharge Permit **7-2**

7.2.1 Regulated County Facilities - Status of NOIs and SWPPPs *7-2*

7.2.1.1 Status of General Government Sites *7-2*

7.2.1.2 Status of Other County Agencies *7-3*

7.2.2 Restoration Plans for Permitted Sites (General Government) *7-4*

7.2.3 Employee Training *7-4*

7.2.3.1 Department of Public Works (DPW) *7-4*

7.2.3.2 Baltimore County Public Schools (BCPS) *7-4*

7.2.4 Site Inspections by MDE *7-5*

7.3 Pollution Reduction Due to County Maintenance Programs **7-5**

7.3.1 Storm Drain Cleaning *7-5*

7.3.1.1 Storm Drain Cleaning Data Analysis *7-5*

7.3.1.2 Storm Drain Cleaning Data by Watershed *7-9*

7.3.1.3 Program Summary – Storm Drain Cleaning *7-11*

7.3.2 Street Sweeping Overview *7-12*

7.3.2.1 Street Sweeping by Watershed *7-13*

7.3.2.2 Program Summary - Street Sweeping *7-15*

7.3.3 Fertilizer, Pesticide, and Deicing Statistics *7-15*

7.3.3.1 Fertilizer *7-16*

7.3.3.2 Pesticides *7-18*

7.3.3.3 Deicing *7-18*

7.4 Household Hazardous Waste **7-20**

7.4.1 Materials Reported in Gallons *7-23*

7.4.1.1 Ammonia *7-23*

7.4.1.2 Antifreeze *7-23*

7.4.1.3 Gasoline *7-23*

7.4.1.4 Motor Oil *7-23*

7.4.1.5 Paint (Latex) *7-24*

7.4.1.6 Solvents/Oil Based Paint (includes flammables) *7-24*

7.4.2 Materials Reported in Pounds *7-24*

7.4.2.1 Asbestos *7-24*

7.4.2.2 Batteries – Auto *7-24*
7.4.2.3 Batteries – Rechargeable *7-25*
7.4.2.4 Corrosives *7-25*
7.4.2.5 Electronics *7-25*
7.4.2.6 Explosives/Fireworks *7-25*
7.4.2.7 Freon from White Goods *7-25*
7.4.2.8 Medicines (Pharmaceuticals) *7-25*
7.4.2.9 Mercury *7-26*
7.4.2.10 Oxidizers *7-26*
7.4.2.11 Polychlorinated Biphenyl Oil (PCBs) *7-26*
7.4.2.12 Pesticides *7-26*
7.4.2.13 Propane Cylinders *7-27*
7.4.2.14 Reactives *7-27*
7.4.2.15 Toxics *7-27*
7.4.3 Materials Reported by Number *7-27*
7.4.3.1 Ammunition Rounds *7-27*
7.4.3.2 Flares, Roadside/Marine *7-27*
7.4.3.3 Fluorescent Light Bulbs *7-28*
7.5 NPDES Management Committee 7-28
7.6 Sanitary Sewer Repair Tracking 7-28
7.6.1 Data Sources and Methodology *7-28*
7.6.2 Summary of Sewer Repairs Associated with Bacteria Monitoring *7-30*
7.6.2.1 Lower North Branch Patapsco Watershed *7-30*
7.6.2.2 Jones Falls Watershed *7-31*
7.6.2.3 Gwynns Falls Watershed *7-31*
7.6.2.4 Loch Raven Reservoir Watershed *7-32*
7.6.2.5 Back River Watershed *7-33*
Section 8 - Public Education 8-1
8.0 Permit Requirements 8-1
8.1 Introduction 8-1
8.2 Public Reporting of Water Quality Complaints 8-2
8.3 Public Outreach and Education 8-2
8.3.1 Outreach *8-3*
8.3.1.1 Water conservation: The Baltimore County Public Works - Bureau of Utilities *8-3*
8.3.1.2 Residential and community stormwater management *8-3*
8.3.1.3 Erosion and sediment control practices *8-3*
8.3.1.4 Household hazardous waste disposal: *8-3*

8.3.1.5 Greening Baltimore County operations: *8-4*
8.3.1.6 Conservation landscaping: *8-4*
8.3.1.7 Residential car care and washing *8-4*
8.3.1.8 Composting: *8-4*
8.3.1.9 Re-use and recycle: *8-4*
8.3.1.10 Proper pet waste management: *8-4*
8.3.1.11 Additional media: *8-4*
8.3.2 Education *8-5*
8.4 Information Regarding Water Quality Issues to the Regulated Community 8-6
8.4.1 NPDES Permitting Requirements *8-6*
8.4.2 Pollution Prevention Plan Development *8-6*
8.4.3 Proper Housekeeping *8-6*
8.4.4 Spill prevention and response *8-6*
8.5 Continuing public outreach related to TMDL Implementation Plans and Trash & Litter Reduction Strategy 8-6
8.5.1 NPDES Management Committee *8-6*
8.5.2 Local Watershed Associations *8-7*
8.5.3 Business Community Outreach *8-7*
8.5.4 Trash and Litter Reduction Outreach *8-7*
8.5.5 Continuing Public Outreach *8-7*
8.6 Summary 8-8
Section 9 - Assessment of Controls 9-1
9.0 Permit Requirements 9-1
9.1 Introduction 9-3
9.2 Scotts Level Branch Long-Term Monitoring 9-3
9.2.1 Monitoring Design *9-4*
9.2.1.1 Flow Monitoring *9-4*
9.2.1.2 Chemical Monitoring *9-4*
9.2.1.3 Storm Event Monitoring *9-5*
9.2.1.4 Baseflow Monitoring *9-6*
9.2.1.5 Geomorphic Monitoring *9-6*
9.2.1.6 Biological Monitoring *9-7*
9.2.2 Scotts Level Branch Long-Term Site Monitoring Results *9-8*
9.2.2.1 Chemical Monitoring Results *9-8*
9.2.2.1.1 Storm Event Monitoring Results *9-8*
9.2.2.1.2 Baseflow Monitoring Results *9-9*
9.2.2.1.3 Pollutant Load Calculations *9-10*

9.2.2.2 Geomorphic Monitoring Results *9-14*
9.2.2.2.1 Scotts Level Branch Geomorphic Monitoring Results *9-14*
9.2.2.2.2 Powder Mill Run Geomorphic Monitoring Results *9-17*
9.2.2.3 Biological Monitoring Results *9-19*
9.2.2.4 Scotts Level Branch Pollutant Load Calculations *9-21*
9.2.2.4.1 Stream Erosion Loads *9-22*
9.2.2.4.2 Watershed Load *9-23*
9.2.2.4.3 Comparison of Scotts Level Pollutant Loads with the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Computed Loads *9-25*
9.2.2.4.4 Summary *9-26*
9.2.3 McDonogh Road Stream Restoration *9-26*
9.2.3.1 McDonogh Road Geomorphic Monitoring Results *9-27*
9.2.3.2 McDonogh Road Biological Monitoring Results *9-28*
9.3 Windlass Run Monitoring – Stormwater Management Assessment 9-29
9.3.1 Stream Geomorphic Monitoring *9-30*
9.3.2 Windlass Run Monitoring Results *9-39*
9.3.2.1 Biological Monitoring *9-39*
9.4 Countywide Monitoring 9-42
9.4.1 Chemical Monitoring Program *9-42*
9.4.1.1 Trend Monitoring *9-42*
9.4.1.2 Pollutant Load Calculations *9-42*
9.4.2 Bacteria TMDL Monitoring *9-48*
9.4.2.1 Monitoring Protocol *9-50*
9.4.2.2 Results *9-50*
9.4.2.3 Subwatershed Prioritization Program *9-88*
9.4.3 Biological Monitoring *9-91*
9.4.3.1 Probabilistic Monitoring *9-91*
9.4.3.2 Capital Improvement Projects Monitoring *9-101*
9.4.3.3 Reference Site Monitoring *9-105*
9.4.3.4 Tidal Benthic Monitoring *9-108*
9.4.4 Submerged Aquatic Vegetation Monitoring Program *9-111*
Section 10 - Watershed Planning, Restoration Progress, and Total Maximum Daily Loads 10-1
10.0 Permit Requirements 10-1
10.1 Introduction 10-3
10.2 Status of Watershed Management Plans 10-4
10.2.1 Water Quality Management Plans *10-4*

10.2.2 Small Watershed Action Plans (SWAPs) ... 10-4
10.2.3 Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Implementation Plans ... 10-8
10.3 Pollutant Load Reduction Calculations and Crediting Actions ... 10-12
10.3.1 Stream Restoration ... 10-13
10.3.2 Shoreline Enhancement ... 10-13
10.3.3 Stormwater Management Facilities and Retrofits ... 10-14
10.3.4 Tree Planting ... 10-14
10.3.5 Downspout Disconnections, Rain Barrels and Rain Gardens ... 10-15
10.4 Restoration Progress ... 10-15
10.4.1 EPS Restoration Programs ... 10-15
10.4.1.1 Watershed Restoration Section - Capital Restoration Projects ... 10-16
10.4.1.1.1 Deer Creek Watershed ... 10-16
10.4.1.1.2 Prettyboy Reservoir Watershed ... 10-16
10.4.1.1.3 Loch Raven Reservoir Watershed ... 10-16
10.4.1.1.4 Lower Gunpowder Watershed ... 10-17
10.4.1.1.5 Little Gunpowder Falls Watershed ... 10-18
10.4.1.1.6 Bird River Watershed ... 10-18
10.4.1.1.7 Gunpowder River Watershed ... 10-19
10.4.1.1.8 Middle River Watershed ... 10-20
10.4.1.1.9 Liberty Reservoir Watershed ... 10-21
10.4.1.1.10 Lower North Branch Patapsco River Watershed ... 10-21
10.4.1.1.11 Gwynns Falls Watershed ... 10-22
10.4.1.1.12 Jones Falls Watershed ... 10-24
10.4.1.1.13 Back River Watershed ... 10-25
10.4.1.1.14 Baltimore Harbor Watershed ... 10-26
10.4.1.2 Forest Management and Sustainability - Reforestation and Urban Tree Planting ... 10-28
10.4.1.2.1 Community Reforestation Program ... 10-28
10.4.1.2.2 Energy Trees ... 10-30
10.4.1.2.3 Growing Home Campaign ... 10-30
10.4.1.2.4 Big Tree Sale ... 10-31
10.4.1.3 Groundwater Management Section - Septic System Related Programs ... 10-32
10.4.2 DPW Restoration Programs ... 10-38
10.4.2.1 County Facility Retrofits Under the General Industrial Stormwater Permit ... 10-39
10.4.2.2 DPW Storm Drain System Restoration Program ... 10-40
10.4.2.3 Annual County Rain Barrel Sale ... 10-41
10.4.3 Local Watershed Associations Restoration Efforts ... 10-43
10.4.4 Redevelopment/Revitalization Pollutant Load Reductions ... 10-46

10.4.5 Restoration Summary *10-48*
10.5 Progress in Meeting Impervious Surface Restoration Requirements **10-66**
10.6 Progress in Meeting Local TMDLs and the Chesapeake Bay TMDL **10-70**
10.6.1 Local TMDLs *10-70*
10.6.1.1 Bacteria TMDLs *10-70*
10.6.1.2 Nutrient and Sediment TMDLs *10-72*
10.6.1.3 Toxics TMDLs *10-75*
10.6.2 Chesapeake Bay TMDL *10-76*
10.6.2.1 Progress in Meeting the 2-year Milestones *10-76*
Section 11 - Program Funding **11-1**
11.0 Permit Requirements **11-1**
11.1 SB863 – Financial Assurance Plan Requirements **11-1**
11.2 Maryland Department of the Environment – Guidance for the Financial Assurance Plan Preparation **11-2**

Tables

Table 1-1: Major NPDES Program Tasks and Responsible Baltimore County Agencies 1-1
Table 2-1: Relationship between Source Identification Topic, Section Discussion, and Attachment A Databases 2-2
Table 2-2: file locations of data layers and databases 2-7
Table 3-1: Fee-in-lieu money received from July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015 3-3
Table 3-2: Approved Stormwater Management Facilities by Watershed through Fiscal Year 2015 3-4
Table 3-3: Total Facilities Built by Watershed and Ownership through Fiscal Year 2015 3-6
Table 3-4: Count and Drainage Area of SWM Facilities with Missing As-builts 3-9
Table 3-5: Load Reductions from SWM Facilities with Missing As-builts 3-9
Table 3-6: SWM Inspections from July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015 3-10
Table 3-7: Stormwater Facility Maintenance Visits by Type FY 2015 3-11
Table 3-8: Constructed SWM Facility Drainage Area Land Use (Acres) through June 30, 2015 3-12
Table 3-9: Total Nitrogen Removal by SWM Facility Type and Watershed (pounds) 3-13
Table 3-10: Total Phosphorus Removal by SWM Facility Type and Watershed (pounds) 3-14
Table 3-11: Total Suspended Solids Removal by SWM Facility Type and Watershed (tons) 3-15
Table 3-12: Comparison of BMP Type Before and After Clean-up 3-16

Table 4.1: Number of Grading Permits and Acreage of Disturbance by Watershed for FY2015 4-3
Table 4-2: Number of Building Permits by Watershed for FY2015. 4-4
Table 4-3: Number of Grading and Building Permits by Year 4-5
Table 4-4: Sediment Control Inspection and Enforcement Data for FY12-FY15. 4-6
Table 5-1: Major and Minor Outfalls by Watershed and Priority Classification 5-3
Table 5-2: Non-prioritized outfalls by Watershed 5-4
Table 5-3: Summary of 2014 Outfalls above Water Quality Threshold 5-9
Table 5-4: Summary of Complaint Status 5-13
Table 5-5: Open/Change in Status Complaints 2013 and Complaints Processed from January 1, 2014 through 5-13
Table 5-6: SWAP Complaints Processed from July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015 5-31
Table 5-7: Hotspot Investigations Conducted from July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015 5-35
Table 5-8: Advanced Nutrient Reduction Programs in fiscal year 2015 5-41
Table 5-9: Advance Program Credit taken for fiscal year 2015 5-43
Table 6-1: 2014 Fixed and Random Site Information 6-7
Table 6-2: Results of 1-way ANOVA 6-8
Table 6-3: Results of 1-way ANOVA 6-9
Table 6-4: Comparison of Fixed Site Results 2012-2014 (#s/Acre) 6-9
Table 6-5: Pounds of Trash Collected by Sorting Category 6-9
Table 6-6: Count of Bottles and Cans Collected 6-10
Table 6-7: Trash Assessment Results 6-14
Table 7-1: General SW Discharge Permit (12-SW) – FY 2015 Compliance Status of Baltimore County Industrial Sites 7-3
Table 7-2: Removal Rates of Inlet and Pipe Cleaning by Year 7-6
Table 7-3: FY 2015 Material Removed in Cubic Yards by Watershed 7-10
Table 7-4: FY 2015 Storm Drain Cleaning Program Pollutant Removal (Pounds) and Impervious Urban Acres Treated 7-11
Table 7-5: Annual Street Sweeping Summary 7-12
Table 7-6: Street Sweeping Program – Proportion of Swept Miles by Highway Shop 7-14
Table 7-7: FY 2015 Street Sweeping Program Pollutant Removal (Pounds) and Impervious Urban Acres Treated 7-15
Table 7-8: July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2015 County Agency Fertilizer, Pesticide and Deicing Materials Use (in Pounds) 7-16

Table 7-9: Annual Fertilizer, Pesticide and Deicing Materials Used By County Agencies (in Pounds) 7-19

Table 7-10: Household Hazardous Waste Recycled (2008-2015)..... 7-22

Table 7-11: Lower North Branch Patapsco River – Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs 7-31

Table 7-12: Lower North Branch Patapsco River – To Be Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs..... 7-31

Table 7-13: Jones Falls – Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs 7-31

Table 7-14: Jones Falls – To Be Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs 7-31

Table 7-15: Gwynns Falls –Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs..... 7-32

Table 7-16: Gwynns Falls –To Be Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs..... 7-32

Table 7-17: Loch Raven Reservoir –Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs..... 7-32

Table 7-18: Loch Raven Reservoir – To Be Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs..... 7-32

Table 7-19: Back River –Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs..... 7-33

Table 7-20: Back River –To Be Completed Sanitary Sewer Repairs..... 7-33

Table 8-1: Education Presentations, Distributions and Events by Type of Audience*, FY2015.... 8-3

Table 8-2: Watershed Group Events and Participation, FY2015 8-5

Table 9-1a: SL-01 Regression Equations Relationship Between Discharge (CFS) and Pollutant Concentrations 9-8

Table 9-1b: SL-09 Regression Equations Relationship Between Discharge (CFS) and Pollutant Concentrations 9-8

Table 9-2: 2014 Mean Daily Baseflow Pollutant Loads for Scott's Level Branch Sites 9-10

Table 9-3a: Pollutant Load Characteristics for USGS gaged in-stream site (SL-01) calendar year 2014..... 9-11

Table 9-3b: Pollutant Load Characteristics for Outfall site (SL-09) calendar year 2014..... 9-12

Table 9-4: Scotts Level Branch Cross Sections - Annualized Cut and Fill Amounts 9-15

Table 9-5: Scotts Level Branch Stream Channel Changes Over Time..... 9-17

Table 9-6: Powder Mill Run Cross Sections - Cut and Fill Amounts 9-18

Table 9-7: Powder Mill Run, 2014-2015 and 2006-2015 Stream Channel Changes 9-18

Table 9-8: 2014 Pollutant Load Estimates and Calculations for Stream Bank Soil Sediment and Nutrients..... 9-22

Table 9-9: Calculated Watershed Loads Delivered Based on SL-09 Monitoring Data..... 9-24

Table 9-10: 2014 Watershed Pollutant Load Estimates Compared to Water Quality Monitoring at SL-01..... 9-24

Table 9-11: Land Use and CBP Watershed Model 5.3 Loading Rates for SL-01 Drainage Area and Calculated Loads 9-26
Table 9-12: FIBI and PHI values for McDonogh Road restoration biological monitoring stations 9-28
Table 9-13: Windlass Run Cross Sections - Annualized Cut and Fill Amounts 9-39
Table 9-14: Windlass Run BIBI Scores from 2013 and 2014 9-41
Table 9-15: Pollutant Load Analysis (lbs) 2014 9-44
Table 9-16 New Bacteria Trend Sites added in 2015 9-48
Table 9-17 Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Station Locations 9-50
Table 9-18 Prettyboy Reservoir Watershed *E. coli* Results on an Annual and Seasonal Basis 9-50
Table 9-19: Frequency of Exceedance of Single Sample Water Quality Standards 9-53
Table 9-20: Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Station Locations 9-54
Table 9-21: Loch Raven Reservoir Watershed *E. coli* Results on an Annual and Seasonal Basis 9-54
Table 9-22: Frequency of Exceedance of Single Sample Water Quality Standards 9-59
Table 9-23 Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Station Locations 9-62
Table 9-24 Liberty Reservoir Watershed *E. coli* Results on an Annual and Seasonal Basis 9-63
Table 9-25: Frequency of Exceedance of Single Sample Water Quality Standards 9-66
Table 9-26 Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Station Locations 9-68
Table 9-27 Lower North Branch of the Patapsco River *E. coli* Results on an Annual and Seasonal Basis 9-68
Table 9-28: Frequency of Exceedance of Single Sample Water Quality Standards 9-73
Table 9-29: Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Station Locations 9-74
Table 9-30: Gwynns Falls *E. coli* Results on an Annual and Seasonal Basis 9-74
Table 9-31: Frequency of Exceedance of Single Sample Water Quality Standards 9-78
Table 9-32: Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Station Locations 9-79
Table 9-33: Jones Falls *E. coli* Results on an Annual and Seasonal Basis 9-79
Table 9-34: Frequency of Exceedance of Single Sample Water Quality Standards 9-84
Table 9-35 Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Station Locations 9-85
Table 9-36 Herring Run Annual Geometric Mean by Weather 9-85
Table 9-37: Frequency of Exceedance of Single Sample Water Quality Standards 9-88
Table 9-38: Prettyboy Reservoir Subwatershed Prioritization Site Locations and Descriptions 9-88

Table 9-39: Loch Raven Subwatershed Prioritization Site Locations and Descriptions 9-89
Table 9-40: Jones Falls Subwatershed Prioritization Site Locations and Descriptions 9-90
Table 9-41: Gwynns Falls Subwatershed Prioritization Site Locations and Descriptions 9-90
Table 9-42: Lower North Branch Patapsco Prioritization Site Locations and Descriptions 9-90
Table 9-43: Liberty Reservoir Prioritization Site Locations and Descriptions 9-90
Table 9-44: BIBI Piedmont Metrics 9-92
Table 9-45: BIBI Coastal Plain Metrics 9-92
Table 9-46: BIBI Score Distribution by Watershed (% by Category) 9-92
Table 9-47: Watershed Biological Condition Using Percent Stream Mile Method 9-96
Table 9-48: Stream Restoration Biological Monitoring Site Locations 9-102
Table 9-49: BIB, FIBI, and PHI values for 2014 at Capital Programs Stream Restoration Projects 9-102
Table 9-50: Reference Site Locations 9-105
Table 9-51: Metrics used for Tidal IBI Calculations 9-108
Table 9-52: 2013 Tidal BIBI Results 9-109
Table 9-53: 2014 Tidal BIBI Results 9-109
Table 9-54: SAV Standards and Baltimore County SAV Monitoring Results (2011-2013) ... 9-112
Appendix 9-1: Results of 2014 Probabilistic Monitoring 9-114
Appendix 9-2: Trend Monitoring Sites by Watershed 9-116
Table 10-1: SWAP Schedule 10-6
Table 10-2: TMDL, WQA, and Impairment Listing Status by Watershed and Tidal Segment 10-9
Table 10-3: Edge-of-Stream (EOS) Pollutant Loading Rates by Watershed 10-13
Table 10-4: Percent Removal Efficiency of BMPs 10-14
Table 10-5: Percent Removal Efficiency of BMPs 10-15
Table 10-6: CPO Projects in the Loch Raven Reservoir Watershed 10-17
Table 10-7: CPO Projects in the Lower Gunpowder Falls Watershed 10-18
Table 10-8: Bird River Watershed – CIP Status 10-19
Table 10-9: Gunpowder River Watershed – CIP Status 10-20
Table 10-10: Middle River Watershed – CIP Status 10-21
Table 10-11: Patapsco River Watershed – CIP Status 10-22
Table 10-12: CPO Projects in the Gwynns Falls Watershed 10-23
Table 10-13: Jones Falls Watershed – CIP Status 10-24
Table 10-14: CPO Projects in the Back River Watershed 10-25

Table 10-15: CPO Projects in the Baltimore Harbor Watershed 10-27

Table 10-16: Baltimore County Non-Mitigation Reforestation Projects by Watershed Through FY13 10-29

Table 10-17: Baltimore County Non-Mitigation Reforestation Projects by Watershed FY14 10-29

Table 10-18: Baltimore County Non-Mitigation Reforestation Projects by Watershed FY15 10-29

Table 10-19: Baltimore County Non-Mitigation Proposed Reforestation Projects 10-30

Table 10-20: Energy Trees Planting Projects by Watershed Through FY13 10-30

Table 10-21: Growing Home Trees Planted by Watershed Through FY13 10-31

Table 10-22: Big Tree Sale #s and Associated Nutrient Reductions Through FY13 10-31

Table 10-23: Big Tree Sale #s FY14 by 8 Digit Watershed and Associated Nutrient Reductions 10-32

Table 10-24: Big Tree Sale #s FY15 by 8 Digit Watershed and Associated Nutrient Reductions 10-32

Table 10-25: OSDS Strategy for Meeting Nitrogen Reductions Targets by 2025 10-32

Table 10-26: FY 2012 and FY 2013 - Number of Denitrifying Systems Installed by Type and Removal Efficiency for Replacement Systems Only 10-33

Table 10-27: FY 2014 - Number of Denitrifying Systems Installed by Type and Removal Efficiency for Replacement Systems Only 10-33

Table 10-27: FY 2014 - Number of Denitrifying Systems Installed by Type and Removal Efficiency for Replacement Systems Only 10-33

Table 10-28: FY 2015 - Number of Denitrifying Systems Installed by Type and Removal Efficiency for Replacement Systems Only 10-33

Table 10-29: OSDS Upgrades to Denitrifying Systems July 1, 2011 Through June 30, 2013 (FY12 - FY13) by Watershed for Replacement Systems Only 10-34

Table 10-30: OSDS Upgrades to Denitrifying Systems July 1, 2013 Through June 30, 2014 (FY14) by Watershed for Replacement Systems Only 10-35

Table 10-31: OSDS Upgrades to Denitrifying Systems July 1, 2014 Through June 30, 2015 (FY15) by Watershed for Replacement Systems Only 10-35

Table 10-32: OSDS Pump-outs July 1, 2013 Through June 30, 2014 by Watershed (FY2014)..... 10-36

Table 10-33: OSDS Pump-outs July 1, 2014 Through June 30, 2015 by Watershed (FY2015)..... 10-36

Table 10-34: Septic to Sewer Connections completed between 10/18/2011 and 1/23/2014 (approx.FY2012 - FY2013..... 10-37

Table 10-35: Septic to Sewer Connections completed between 1/23/2014 and 8/20/2014 (approx. FY2014) 10-38

Table 10-36: Septic to Sewer Connections completed between 8/20/2014 and 8/20/2015 (approx. FY2015 10-38

Table 10-37: DPW Stormwater Projects Associated With the Industrial Permit 10-39

Table 10-38: DPW Planned Stormwater Restoration Projects 10-40

Table 10-39: Baltimore County Rain Barrel Sales by Fiscal Year 10-42

Table 10-40a: Baltimore County Rain Barrel Total Sales Through FY13 by 8 Digit Watershed and Associated Nutrient Reductions 10-42

Table 10-40b: Baltimore County Rain Barrel Sales FY14 by 8 Digit Watershed and Associated Nutrient Reductions 10-43

Table 10-40c: Baltimore County Rain Barrel Sales FY15 by 8 Digit Watershed and Associated Nutrient Reductions 10-43

Table 10-41: Watershed Groups' Projects Through FY13 10-44

Table 10-42: Watershed Groups' Projects Pollutant Reductions FY14 10-44

Table 10-43: Watershed Groups' Projects Pollutant Reductions FY15 10-44

Table 10-44: Watershed Group Projects Pollutant Reductions Through FY13 by Watershed 10-45

Table 10-45: Watershed Group Projects Pollutant Reductions FY14 by Watershed 10-45

Table 10-46 Watershed Group Projects Pollutant Reductions FY15 by Watershed 10-46

Table 10-47: Pollutant Load Reduction as a Result of Redevelopment/Revitalization Projects..... 10-47

Table 10-48: Pollutant Load Reduction as a Result of Redevelopment/Revitalization Projects..... 10-48

Table 10-49: Future Pollutant Load Reduction as a Result of Redevelopment/Revitalization Projects..... 10-48

Table 10-50: Progress Made in Pollutant Load Reductions and Impervious Area Treated Through FY13 10-49

Table 10-51: Progress Made in Pollutant Load Reductions and Impervious Area Treated – July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014 (FY14) 10-53

Table 10-52: Progress Made in Pollutant Load Reductions and Impervious Area Treated – July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015 (FY15) 10-58

Table 10-53: Pollutant load Reductions and Impervious Area Treated by Watershed Through FY2013 10-65

Table 10-54: Pollutant load Reductions and Impervious Area Treated by Watershed FY2014 10-65

Table 10-55: Pollutant load Reductions and Impervious Area Treated by Watershed FY2015 10-66

Table 10-56: Baltimore County Impervious Area by Watershed – Calculated for 2002 10-67

Table 10-57: Impervious Area Treated Through June 30, 2015..... 10-68

Table 10-58: Projection of Impervious Surface Addressed for Cumulative Projects Over the Remaining Three Years of the MS4 Permit..... 10-69

Table 10-59: Annual Practice Impervious Surface Restoration Credit Projected for the Last Year of the MS4 Permit..... 10-69

Table 10-60: Seasonal Dry Weather Results and Sanitary Sewer System Repairs 10-71

Table 10-61: TMDL Reduction Requirements for Nutrient and Sediment TMDLs 10-72

Table 10-62: Progress in Meeting the Local Nutrient and Sediment TMDLs to date..... 10-73

Table 10-63: Anticipated Pollutant Load Reductions for Local TMDLs through FY2017 10-74

Table 10-64: 2-year Milestone Targets for Each Restoration Strategy 10-77

Table 10-65: Expected Nitrogen Reductions through the First 2-Year Milestones..... 10-77

Table 10-66: Expected Phosphorus Reductions through the First 2-Year Milestones 10-77

Table 10-67: 2-year Milestone Progress on Restoration Strategies and Percent of Target Achieved 10-78

Table 10-68: Progress in the Reduction of Nitrogen by Strategy for the First Two Sets of 2-year Milestone Periods (Delivered Load, #s) 10-79

Table 10-69: Progress in the Reduction of Phosphorus Strategy for the First Two Sets of 2-year Milestone Periods (Delivered Load, #s) 10-80

Table 10-70: Actual Acres of Disturbance versus Predicted Acres of Disturbance (FY2015) 10-81

Table 10-71: Difference between Modeled and Actual Nitrogen Loading Rates Due to Construction..... 10-81

Table 10-72: Difference between Modeled and Actual Phosphorus Loading Rates Due to Construction..... 10-82

Table 10-73: Load Reductions Due to Development of Quarries 10-83

Table 10-74: Total Reductions in Relation to Target Reductions 10-83

Table 10-75: 2-Year Urban Stormwater Programmatic Milestones and Their Status..... 10-84

Table 10-76: Progress in Meeting the First two sets of 2-Year Milestones for OSDS Remediation 10-86

Table 11-1: Summary of Operating Budget for Fiscal Year 2016..... 11-3

Table 11-2: Summary of Capital Budget – FY2016 and Prior Authorizations 11-4

Figures

Figure 3-1: Number of Approved SWM Facilities by Watershed through Fiscal Year 2015 3-8

Figure 3-2: Acreage Served by Approved Private SWM Facilities by Watershed through Fiscal Year 2015 3-8

Figure 3-3: Acreage Served by Approved Public SWM Facilities by Watershed through Fiscal Year 2015 3-9

Figure 4-1: Acres of Disturbance through approved grading permits by watershed for FY2015. 4-3

Figure 4-2: Number of building permits issued by watershed in FY2015. 4-4

Figure 4-3: Approved Grading and Building Permits for the Period 1998 – 2013..... 4-5

Figure 5-1a: Major Outfall Prioritization. Note most outfalls are inside the Urban-Rural Demarcation Line (URDL). 5-5

Figure 5-1b: Minor Outfall Prioritization. Note most outfalls are inside the Urban-Rural Demarcation Line (URDL). 5-6

Figure 5-2a: Minor Outfall Screening Priority Distribution 5-7

Figure 5-2b: Major Outfall Screening Priority Distribution 5-7

Figure 5-3a: Major Outfalls Number of *quantitative* problems detected. 5-8

Figure 5-3b: Minor Outfalls Number of *quantitative* problems detected 5-9

Figure 5-4a: Major Outfalls Number of *qualitative* problems visually observed..... 5-10

Figure 5-4b: Minor Outfalls Number of *qualitative* problems visually observed. 5-11

Figure 5-5: Involvement of the Regional Programs in the Investigation of Illicit Connections. This is the approximate breakdown of cases based on past data. 5-12

Figure 5-6: HSI Locations in Area A 5-36

Figure 5-7: HSI Locations in Area N 5-37

Figure 5-8: HSI Locations in Area O 5-38

Figure 5-9: HSI Locations in Area S 5-39

Figure 5-10: Locations in Baltimore County which qualify for program credit..... 5-42

Figure 6-1: Map of 2014 Fixed and Random Monitoring Locations..... 6-6

Figure 6-2: Fixed Stream Sites Loading Rates 6-10

Figure 6-3: Fixed Stream Sites Total Pounds 6-11

Figure 6-4: Random Stream Sites Loading Rates 6-11

Figure 6-5: Random Stream Sites Total Pounds 6-12

Figure 6-6: Map of 2015 Upland Trash Assessment Pilot Sites 6-13

Figure 7-1: Inlets Cleaned and Volume of Material Removed per Year 7-7

Figure 7-2: Annual Inlet Debris Removal Rates 7-7
Figure 7-3: Length of Pipe Cleaned and Volume of Material Removed per Year 7-8
Figure 7-4: Annual Pipe Debris Removal Rates 7-8
Figure 7-5: Miles of Street Swept, Tons of Material Removed, and Tons/Mile Swept 7-13
Figure 7-6: Trends in Annual Fertilizer and Pesticide Used by County Agencies 7-19
Figure 7-7: Trends in Annual Deicing Material Used by County Agencies 7-20
Figure 7-8: Motor Oil and Anti-freeze Recycled from 1991 through FY 2015 7-24
Figure 7-9: Household Hazardous Waste Recycling of Solvents, Gasoline, and Pesticides from 1998 to FY 2015 7-27
Figure 7-10: Baltimore County Bacteria Monitoring Locations with Sewer Repair Data 7-29
Figure 9-1: Scotts Level Branch McDonogh Road Restoration Monitoring Locations 9-4
Figure 9-2: Scotts Level Branch Chemical Monitoring Locations 9-5
Figure 9-3: Scotts Level Branch Geomorphic and Biological Monitoring Site Locations 9-7
Figure 9-4: Powder Mill Run Chemical, Geomorphic and Biological Monitoring Sites 9-7
Figure 9-5: Scotts Level Branch pollutant loads at SL-01 gage from 2007-2014 (adjusted for average annual rainfall) 9-14
Figure 9-6: Scotts Level Branch Geomorphic Cross Section 1 Overlay showing differences in channel morphology between the 2014 and 2015 surveys. 9-15
Figure 9-7: (a) Scotts Level Branch and (b) Powder Mill Run IBI Scores, 2014 9-20
Figure 9-8: (a) Scotts Level Branch and (b) Powder Mill Run Physical Habitat Index 2014. .. 9-21
Figure 9-9: McDonogh Road 2014 and 2015 cross-section overlays. 9-27
Figure 9-10: BIBI trend Pre and Post Restoration Scores 9-29
Figure 9-11: Windlass Run Aerial Photograph Showing Monitoring Station Locations. 9-31
Figure 9-12: Percentage impervious cover in Windlass Run watershed 2001 through 2014 9-32
Figure 9-13: Orthophotograph of Windlass Run watershed, 1995, with potential for development highlighted in red cross-hatching. 9-33
Figure 9-14: Windlass Run watershed orthophotograph, 2002. 9-34
Figure 9-15: Windlass Run orthophotograph, 2005. New development/grading is circled in red. 9-35
Figure 9-16: Windlass Run orthophotograph, 2008. New development/grading is circled in red. 9-36
Figure 9-17: Windlass Run orthophotograph, 2011. New development/grading is circled in red. 9-37
Figure 9-18: Windlass Run orthophotograph, 2014. New development/grading in red 9-38

Figure 9-19: Windlass Run BIBI Scores 9-40

Figure 9-20: Windlass Run PHI Scores from 2004-2014 9-41

Figure 9-21: Trend Monitoring Sites 9-43

Figure 9-22: Trend Total Nitrogen Mean Concentrations for Monitoring Year 2014. 9-46

Figure 9-23: Trend Total Phosphorus Mean Concentrations for Monitoring Year 2014. 9-47

Figure 9-24: Map of Bacteria TMDL Monitoring Stations 9-49

Figure 9-25: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PRE-1 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison..... 9-51

Figure 9-26: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PRE-2 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison..... 9-52

Figure 9-27: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PRE-3 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison..... 9-52

Figure 9-28: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LOC-1 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-56

Figure 9-29: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LOC-2 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-56

Figure 9-30: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LOC-3 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-57

Figure 9-31: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LOC-4 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-57

Figure 9-32: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LOC-5 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-58

Figure 9-33: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LOC-6 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-58

Figure 9-34: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LOC-7 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-59

Figure 9-35: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LIB-1 for Both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison. No data for 2010 and 2011. 9-64

Figure 9-36: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LIB-2 for Both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison. No data for 2010 and 2011. 9-64

Figure 9-37: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LIB-3 for Both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison. No data for 2010 and 2011. 9-65

Figure 9-38: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LIB-4 for Both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison. No data for 2010 and 2011. 9-65

Figure 9-39: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site LIB-5 for Both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison. No data for 2010 and 2011. 9-66

Figure 9-40: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PAT-1 for Both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-70

Figure 9-41: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PAT-2 for Both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-70

Figure 9-42: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PAT-3 for Both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-71

Figure 9-43: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PAT-4 for Both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-71

Figure 9-44: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site PAT-5 for Both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-72

Figure 9-45: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site GWY-1 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-76

Figure 9-46: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site GWY-2 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-76

Figure 9-47: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site GWY-5 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-76

Figure 9-48: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site GWY-6 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison .. 9-77

Figure 9-49: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site JON-1 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-80

Figure 9-50: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site JON-2 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-81

Figure 9-51: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site JON-3 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-81

Figure 9-52: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site JON-4 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-82

Figure 9-53: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at Site JON-5 for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-82

Figure 9-54: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at the Harford Road Site (HER-1) for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison 9-86

Figure 9-55: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at the Pulaski Highway Site for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison. No Samples Collected in 2010 9-86

Figure 9-56: *E. coli* Geometric Mean Concentrations at the Biddle Street Site for both Annual and Seasonal Flow Periods Stratified by Flow Condition, MDE Results Added for Comparison. No Samples Collected in 2010 9-87

Figure 9-57: Means and one standard deviation of BIBI scores for Patapsco/Back River watersheds between 2003 and 2013. 9-95

Figure 9-58: Means and one standard deviation of BIBI scores of Gunpowder Falls/Deer Creek watersheds between 2004 and 2014. 9-95

Figure 9-59: Probabilistic Biological Monitoring results for 2013 and 2014. Sample points are superimposed on named Baltimore County subwatersheds. 9-98

Figure 9-60a: BIBI rolling averages for Patapsco/Back River probabilistic monitoring sites between 2003 and 2013. 9-99

Figure 9-60b: BIBI rolling averages for Gunpowder/Deer Creek probabilistic monitoring sites between 2006 and 2014. 9-100

Figure 9-61a: Mean BIBI scores for Patapsco/Back River Sentinel Sites between 2003 and 2013. 9-101

Figure 9-61b: Mean BIBI scores for Gunpowder/Deer Creek Sentinel Sites between 2004 and 2014 9-101

Figure 9-62: Minebank Run Biological Monitoring Stations. 9-103

Figure 9-63: Redhouse Run Biological Monitoring Station Locations 9-104

Figure 9-64: Spring Branch Biological Monitoring Station Locations 9-105

Figure 9-65: Benthic IBI values for Reference Sites, 2003-2014 9-107

Figure 9-66: Physical Habitat Index values for Reference Sites, 2005-2014 9-107

Figure 9-67: Map of 2013 and 2014 tidal benthic sample results 9-110

Figure 9-68: 2013 Average Tidal BIBI Scores for Each Tidal System 9-111

Figure 9-69: 2014 Average Tidal BIBI Scores for Each Tidal System 9-111

Figure 9-70: Baltimore County SAV Monitoring Program – Trends in % Coverage 9-113

Appendix 9-3: Example Regression Analysis Graph 9-117

Figure 10-1: Baltimore County SWAP Status 10-7